Approved For Release 2000/08/07: C Yaro phosogalologa (Resolution (whate on page? Line? of phone book. Finite set of data

Barriere (Elininate Known s'unknown energy doubling)

between subject and object Farrada Cage Light tight box I tarming of the elect Both above performer (men, Thermal Barrier (Vacuum) Mechanical Distance Codinz Trp C- check medical history

C- Check medical history

Telephone # from book by page, number in edm

a No one including experimentar should Know Information decired 6. extemporariors 2. Con the subject do this with symbols from longunge or selected score with which he 15 mil familian 3. Can the Subject predict the output
Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP46 0072277000400070012.0

6f a randomn nomber generativ.

Fun SG11

## Some general comments

In Suggest you deal with critics and second-guessers before the fact by priving them your experimental plans in furthing in quite some detail (i.e. prepare a "ser.pt") and distribute it as widely as you plan to distribute your results

2. Modeling is important: (some have said they don't rare "how" - will just exploit the "what") but needed to predict (a) under what circumstances it will work (if it is real phenomena - its inpredictable) (b) what other phenomena might be developed.

3. A physical view is important. If
new physical laws are involved they
should be modelled. Ultimately it
may be possible to eliminate the human
letenent by developing nordware. If
Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0
new laws are not involved, conventional

physics will help explain how much to expect from "sensitive" humans.

4. Repeatability is important. Why are there so many annecdates at one-time-only events (n.b. Mrs Rhine's book)

5. Fraud and investigator bias must be eliminated - hence experiments must be 100% controlled (follow the script exactly) and double blind. Since truly unprejudiced investigators probably don't exist, the investigating team might routain both advocates and adversaries.

## Questionner Response

1. Should answers

- a) is the phenomona "real" or result
  of fraud or self-deception?
- b) are some subjects ultra sensitive within the concepts of conventional physics?
- Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00787R 400070012-0

2.3 - Tests - hidden object tests

(either identifying or locating objects)

appear to be simple practile representable
exercises in which peraneters can be

controlled and varied (and in which
both subjects have had some reported
success). Parameters might be selected

to determine the effects of range
of materials blocking and surrounding the

target, the composition of the larget of

4. Institute double-blind proceedures. The "advocacy" positions of Puthoff and Tary should be countered with the colaboration of an "adversary" investigator

5. Honest ones - again the advocate-adversing approach could help - double locks could be used

6. See gereral comments above